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## And Dually

How to derive a ranking over the set of elements in $N$ "compatible" with a given ranking over the subsets of $N$ ?

- Most papers dealing with the first issue provide an axiomatic approach (Kannai and Peleg (1984), Barbera et al (2004), Bossert (1995), Fishburn (1992), Roth (1985) etc.)
- Extension axiom: given total preorder $\succcurlyeq$ on $N$, a total preorder
$\sqsupseteq$ on $2^{N}$ is an extension of $\succcurlyeq$ if for each $x, y \in N$,

$$
\{x\} \sqsupseteq\{y\} \Leftrightarrow x \succcurlyeq y
$$

Not needed in the second approach.
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## Example: max and min

The simplest extensions are the max and the min extensions.

- For instance, let $N=\{1,2,3\}$ and $1 \succ 2 \succ 3$.

According to the max extension, for each $S, T \in 2^{N} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, we have

$$
\left(S \sqsupseteq^{\max } T\right) \Leftrightarrow(\operatorname{best}(S) \succcurlyeq \operatorname{best}(T))
$$

So the extension $\sqsupseteq^{\text {max }}$ of $\succcurlyeq$ is:
$\{1,2,3\} \simeq^{\max }\{1,3\} \simeq^{\max }\{1,2\} \simeq^{\max }\{1\} \sqsupset^{\max }\{2\} \simeq^{\max }\{2,3\} \sqsupset^{\text {max }}\{3\}$
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- However many decision problems require learning preferences over sets of of possibly interacting objects.
- For example, you do not need to be Ancellotti to understand that taking the eleven first ranked players does not make a good team!
- or picking the top $k$ items ranked by google does not always yield the optimal subset for building a music playlist.

Interactions are even more essential in the dual approach.
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## Which kind of interaction effects?

- Let $N=\{x, y, z\}$ and suppose that an agent's preference is such that $x \succcurlyeq y, x \succcurlyeq z$ and $y \succcurlyeq z$.
- Trying to extend $\succcurlyeq$ to $2^{N}$, one could guess that set $\{x, y\}$ is better than $\{y, z\}$, because the agent will receive both $y$ and $x$ instead of $y$ and $z$ (and $x$ is preferred to $z$ ).
- However, in case of incompatibility among $x$ and $y$, or complementarity effects between $y$ and $z$, the relative ranking between the two sets $\{x, y\}$ and $\{y, z\}$ could be reversed.
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Axiom [Responsiveness, RESP] A total preorder $\sqsupseteq$ on $2^{N}$ satisfies the responsiveness property if for all $S \in 2^{N}$ such that $i, j \notin S$

$$
(S \cup\{i\}) \sqsupseteq(S \cup\{j\}) \Leftrightarrow\{i\} \sqsupseteq\{j\}
$$

- This axiom was introduced by Roth (1985) studying colleges' preferences for the "college admissions problem" (see also Gale and Shapley (1962)).
- Bossert (1995) used the same property for ranking sets of alternatives with a fixed cardinality and to characterize the class of rank-ordered lexicographic extensions.
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## Well known extensions

The following extensions satisfy the RESP property:

- max and min extensions (Barberà, Bossert, and Pattanaik 2004)
- lexi-min and lexi-max extensions (Barberà, Bossert, and Pattanaik 2004)
- median-based extensions (Nitzan and Pattanaik 1984)
- rank-ordered lexicographic extensions (Bossert 1995)
- many others...
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A coalitional game is a pair $(N, v)$, where $N$ denotes the finite set of players and $v: 2^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic function, with $v(\emptyset)=0$.

Given a game, a regular semivalue (Carreras and Freixas 1999; 2000) may be computed to convert information about the worth that coalitions can achieve into a personal attribution (of payoff) to each of the players:

$$
\pi_{i}^{\mathbf{p}}(v)=\sum_{S \subset N: i \notin S} p_{s}(v(S \cup\{i\})-v(S))
$$

for each $i \in N$, where $p_{s}$ represents the probability that a coalition $S \in 2^{N}$ (of cardinality $s$ ) with $i \notin S$ forms. So coalitions of the same size have the same probability to form.
(It must hold $\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{s} p_{s}=1$, requiring $p_{s}>0$ for all $s$ is the regularity of the semivalue.)

## Shapley and Banzhaf regular semivalues

- The Shapley value (Shapley 1953) is the regular semivalue $\pi^{\hat{\mathrm{P}}}(v)$, such that

$$
\hat{p}_{s}=\frac{1}{n\binom{n-1}{s}}=\frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!}
$$

for each $s=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.
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- The Shapley value (Shapley 1953) is the regular semivalue $\pi^{\hat{p}}(v)$, such that

$$
\hat{p}_{s}=\frac{1}{n\binom{n-1}{s}}=\frac{s!(n-s-1)!}{n!}
$$

for each $s=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.

- Another important semivalue is the Banzhaf power index
(Banzhaf III 1964), defined as the regular semivalue $\pi^{\tilde{p}}(v)$ such that

$$
\tilde{p}_{s}=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}
$$

for each $s=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, (each coalition has an equal probability to be chosen)
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DEF. Let $\pi^{p}$ be a regular semivalue. A total prorder $\sqsupseteq$ on $2^{N}$ is p-aligned if for each numerical representation $v \in V(\sqsupseteq)$ we have that

$$
\{i\} \sqsupseteq\{j\} \Leftrightarrow \pi_{i}^{\hat{P}}(v) \geq \pi_{j}^{\hat{p}}(v)
$$

for all $i, j \in N$.
In other words, the ranking assigned by the semivalue to the players (objects) respects the initial ranking and does not depend from the utility function selected to represent the ordering on $2^{N}$.

## A basic formula

The following is a basic formula to calculate the ranking of the objects

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{i}^{\mathbf{p}}(v)-\pi_{j}^{\mathbf{p}}(v)= \\
& \sum_{s: i, j \notin S}\left(p_{s}+p_{s+1}\right)[v(S \cup\{i\})-v(S \cup\{j\})]= \\
& \sum_{s=0}^{n-2}\left(p_{s}+p_{s+1}\right)\left[\sum_{S: i, j \notin S,|S|=s}[v(S \cup\{i\})-v(S \cup\{j\}]]\right.
\end{aligned}
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{i}^{\mathbf{p}}(v)-\pi_{j}^{\mathbf{p}}(v)= \\
& \sum_{s: i, j \notin S}\left(p_{s}+p_{s+1}\right)[v(S \cup\{i\})-v(S \cup\{j\})]= \\
& \sum_{s=0}^{n-2}\left(p_{s}+p_{s+1}\right)\left[\sum_{s: i, j \notin S,|S|=s}[v(S \cup\{i\})-v(S \cup\{j\}]]\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Write $x_{s}=p_{s}+p_{s+1}$ and

$$
\left[\sum_{S: i, j \notin S,|S|=s}[v(S \cup\{i\})-v(S \cup\{j\}]]=a_{s+1}^{i j v} .\right.
$$

Semivalues $\pi^{\mathbf{p}}$ aligned with $\sqsupseteq$ can be found by solving the semi-infinite system of linear inequalities:

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
a_{1}^{i j v} x_{1}+a_{2}^{i j v} x_{2}+\cdots+a_{n-1}^{i j v} x_{n-1} \geq 0, & v \in V(\sqsupseteq), \\
x_{1} \geq 0, \ldots & x_{n-1} \geq 0
\end{array} \quad x \neq 0, ~ \$
$$

## Example: Shapley-aligned total preorder...

For each coalitional game $v$, the Shapley value is denoted by $\phi(v)=\pi^{\hat{\rho}}(v)$.
Let $N=\{1,2,3\}$ and let $\sqsupseteq^{a}$ be a total preorder on $N$ such that $\{1,2,3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{2\} \sqsupset^{a}\{1,3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{2,3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{1\} \sqsupset^{a}\{1,2\} \sqsupset^{a}$ $\emptyset$.
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For each coalitional game $v$, the Shapley value is denoted by $\phi(v)=\pi^{\hat{\rho}}(v)$.
Let $N=\{1,2,3\}$ and let $\sqsupseteq^{a}$ be a total preorder on $N$ such that $\{1,2,3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{2\} \sqsupset^{a}\{1,3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{2,3\} \sqsupset^{a}\{1\} \sqsupset^{a}\{1,2\} \sqsupset^{a}$ $\emptyset$.

For every $v \in V\left(\beth^{a}\right)$

$$
\phi_{2}(v)-\phi_{1}(v)=\frac{1}{2}(v(2)-v(1))+\frac{1}{2}(v(2,3)-v(1,3))>0
$$

On the other hand

$$
\phi_{3}(v)-\phi_{2}(v)=\frac{1}{2}(v(3)-v(2))+\frac{1}{2}(v(1,3)-v(1,2))>0 .
$$

$$
\left(\frac{1}{2}=p_{0}+p_{1}=p_{1}+p_{2} .\right)
$$

## ... p-aligned for other regular semivalues

Note that $\sqsupseteq^{a}$ is $\mathbf{p}$-aligned for every regular semivalue such that $p_{0} \geq p_{2}$ :
$\pi_{2}^{p}(v)-\pi_{1}^{p}(v)=\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)(v(2)-v(1))+\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)(v(2,3)-v(1,3))>0$
On the other hand
$\pi_{3}^{p}(v)-\pi_{2}^{p}(v)=\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)(v(3)-v(2))+\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)(v(1,3)-v(1,2))>0$ for every $v \in V\left(\sqsupseteq^{a}\right)$.

## Total preorder $\mathbf{p}$-aligned for no regular semivalues

It is quite possible that for a given preorder there is no p-ordinal regular semivalue associated to it $((1,0, \ldots, 0)$ is always good). It is enough, for instance, to consider the case $N=\{1,2,3\}$ and the following total preorder:

$$
N \sqsupset\{1,2\} \sqsupset\{2,3\} \sqsupset\{1\} \sqsupset\{1,3\} \sqsupset\{2\} \sqsupset\{3\} \sqsupset \emptyset .
$$

Then 1 and 2 cannot be ordered since, for every fixed semivalue $\mathbf{p}$ the quantity

$$
\left(p_{0}+p_{1}\right)(v(\{1\})-v(\{2\}))+\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)(v(\{1,3\})-v(\{2,3\}))
$$

can be made both positive and negative by suitable choices of $v$.
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Given a total order $\sqsupseteq$ on $2^{N}$, the set of regular semivalues $\sqsupseteq$ is aligned with is either empty or at least two dimensional convex set.
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Also with $n>4$, the set of regular semivalues for which a complete preorder is aligned can be exactly two dimensional.
EXAMPLE Let $N=\{1,2,3,4,5\}$. A trichotomous preorder such

$$
\begin{gathered}
V G=\{\{1\},\{1,3\},\{2\},\{2,3,4\},\{4,5\},\{1,2,5\},\{1,2,3,4\}\}, \\
G=\{\{3\},\{1,3,5\},\{4\},\{1,2,4,5\},\{2,4\},\{3,5\}\}, \\
B=\left\{2^{N} \backslash\{V G \cup G\}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Such a total preorder is aligned for every regular semivalue of the form

$$
\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{0}-2 p_{1}}{2}, p_{1}, \frac{1-p_{0}-2 p_{1}}{2}\right) .
$$

Proposition Let $\sqsupseteq$ be a total preorder on $2^{N}$. If $\sqsupseteq$ satisfies the RESP property, then it is $p$-aligned with every regular semivalue $\pi^{p}$.

Proposition Let $\sqsupseteq$ be a total preorder on $2^{N}$. If $\sqsupseteq$ satisfies the RESP property, then it is $p$-aligned with every regular semivalue $\pi^{p}$.

- All the extensions from the literature listed in the previous slide are $\mathbf{p}$-aligned with all regular semivalues...
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## Axiom[Permutational Responsiveness, PR]

We denote by $\Sigma_{i j}^{s}$ the set of all subsets of $N$ of cardinality $s$ which do not contain neither $i$ nor $j$, i.e.
$\Sigma_{i j}^{s}=\left\{S \in 2^{N}: i, j \notin S,|S|=s\right\}$.
Order the sets $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n_{s}}$ in $\sum_{i j}^{s}$ when you add $i$ and $j$, respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{rlc}
S_{1} \cup\{i\} & \sqsupseteq & S_{l(1)} \cup\{j\} \\
\mid \sqcup & & \mid \sqcup \\
S_{2} \cup\{i\} & \sqsupseteq & S_{l(2)} \cup\{j\} \\
\mid \sqcup & & \mid \sqcup \\
\ldots & \sqsupseteq & \ldots \\
\mid \sqcup & \| \sqcup \\
S_{n_{s}} \cup\{i\} & \sqsupseteq & S_{l\left(n_{s}\right)} \cup\{j\} \\
\Leftrightarrow & \{i\} & \sqsupseteq\{j\}
\end{array}
$$

## Again a sufficient condition...

Proposition Let $\sqsupseteq$ be a total preorder on $2^{N}$. If $\sqsupseteq$ satisfies the PR property, then $\sqsupseteq$ is $\mathbf{p}$-aligned with every semivalue.

## Again a sufficient condition...

Proposition Let $\sqsupseteq$ be a total preorder on $2^{N}$. If $\sqsupseteq$ satisfies the PR property, then $\sqsupseteq$ is $\mathbf{p}$-aligned with every semivalue.
$-\{1,2,3,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{2,3,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{3,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{3\} \sqsupset^{b}\{2\} \sqsupset^{b}$
$\{2,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,3\} \sqsupset^{b}\{2,3\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,3,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,2,4\} \sqsupset^{b}$
$\{1,2,3\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,2\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1\} \sqsupset^{b} \emptyset$ is $p$-aligned for all $p$ but does not satisfy the PR property.

## Axiom[Double Permutational Responsiveness, DPR]

Order the sets $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{n_{s}+n_{s}-1}$ in $\sum_{i j}^{s} \cup \sum_{i j}^{s-1}$ when you add $i$ and $j$, respectively:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
S_{1} \cup\{i\} & \sqsupseteq & S_{l(1)} \cup\{j\} \\
\mid \sqcup & \sqsupseteq & \mid \sqcup \\
S_{2} \cup\{i\} & & S_{l(2)} \cup\{j\} \\
\mid \sqcup & & \mid \sqcup \\
\ldots & \sqsupseteq & \ldots \\
\mid \sqcup & \quad \mid \sqcup \\
S_{n_{s}+n_{s-1}} \cup\{i\} & \sqsupseteq & S_{l\left(n_{s}+n_{s-1}\right)} \cup\{j\} \\
& \Leftrightarrow\{i\} \sqsupseteq\{j\}
\end{array}
$$

## A characterization with possibility of interaction

Theorem
The following statements are equivalent:

1) $\sqsupseteq$ fulfills the DPR property;
2) $\sqsupseteq$ is $\mathbf{p}$-aligned for all semivalues.
$-\{1,2,3,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{2,3,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{3,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{3\} \sqsupset^{b}\{2\} \sqsupset^{b}$
$\{2,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,4\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,3\} \sqsupset^{b}\{2,3\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1,3,4\} \exists^{b}\{1,2,4\} \sqsupset^{b}$
$\{1,2,3\} \exists^{b}\{1,2\} \sqsupset^{b}\{1\} \sqsupset^{b} \emptyset$ is $p$-aligned for all $p$, is not PR, but it is DPR.

## Finding semivalues aligned with $\sqsupseteq$

Let $\sqsupseteq$ be a total preorder on $2^{N}$. For each $A \in 2^{N}$, let $\mathcal{P}_{i j}^{s}(\sqsupseteq, A)$ be the set of all subsets $T$ containing neither $i$ nor $j$ and with cardinality $s$ such that $T \cup\{i\}$ is weakly preferred to $S$, i.e.
$\mathcal{P}_{i j}^{s}(\sqsupseteq, A)=\left\{S \in \Sigma_{i j}^{s}: S \cup\{i\} \sqsupseteq A\right\}$.
Theorem
Let $\sqsupseteq$ be a total preorder on $2^{N}$ and consider a semivalue $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right)$. Then $\sqsupseteq$ is $\mathbf{p}$-aligned if and only if for all $i, j \in N$ and all $A \in 2^{N}$

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n-2}\left(p_{s}+p_{s+1}\right)\left(\left|\mathcal{P}_{i j}^{s}(\sqsupseteq, A)\right|-\left|\mathcal{P}_{j i}^{s}(\sqsupseteq, A)\right|\right) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow\{i\} \sqsupseteq\{j\}
$$

Finding semivalues aligned with $\sqsupseteq$ is transformed in a (almost) classical system of linear inequalities.

## Axiom[Weighted Permutational Responsiveness, WPR]

Let $\mathbf{p}$ be a semivalue with rational coordinates and let $\mathbf{v}$ be a multiple of $\mathbf{p}$ in $\mathbb{N}^{n}$. Let $x_{s}=v_{s}+v_{s+1}$. Order all sets in decreasing order, with repetitions $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{2^{n-2}}$ in $2^{N \backslash\{i, j\}}$ when you add $i$ and $j$, respectively:


## Example

Let $N=\{1,2,3\}$ and consider the order

$$
N \sqsupset\{1\} \sqsupset\{2,3\} \sqsupset\{1,3\} \sqsupset\{2\} \sqsupset\{1,2\} \sqsupset\{3\} \sqsupset \emptyset .
$$

$\mathbf{v}=\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}\right) \mathbf{v}=(2,1,1)$. Then consider players 1 and 2

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\{1\} & \sqsupset & \{2,3\} \\
\{1\} & \sqsupset & \{2,3\} \\
\{1\} & \sqsupset & \{2\} \\
\{1,3\} & \sqsupset & \{2\} \\
\{1,3\} & \sqsupset & \{2\}
\end{array}
$$

## A simple algorithm to check p-alignment

Theorem
Let $\sqsupseteq$ be a total preorder on $2^{N}$ and consider a semivalue $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{0}, \ldots, p_{n-1}\right)$, with rational $p$. Then $\sqsupseteq$ is $\mathbf{p}$-aligned if and only if the property WPR holds.

