SOME EQUATIONS WITH LOGARITHMIC NONLINEAR TERMS

Alain Miranville

Université de Poitiers, France

Dedicated to Gianni Gilardi on the occasion of his 65th birthday

<u>Collaborators :</u> L. Cherfils, S. Gatti, G. Gilardi, G.R. Goldstein, M. Grasselli, V. Pata, G. Schimperna, S. Zelik

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Three equations :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x, t)$$

$$\epsilon \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x, t), \ \epsilon > 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta f(u) = g(x, t)$$

$$\epsilon (0, T), \ \forall T > 0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

 $g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times (0,T)), \ \forall T > 0$ Ω : bounded and regular domain of R^n , n = 1, 2 or 3

Motivations :

• Caginalp phase-field system :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = \theta$$
$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$

- *u* : order parameter
- θ : relative temperature

Generalization :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} - \Delta \alpha = -u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

 $\alpha = \int_0^t \theta \, ds + \alpha_0$: thermal displacement variable

Based on the Maxwell-Cattaneo law

• Hyperbolic relaxation of the Caginalp model :

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) &= \theta, \ \epsilon > 0 \\ \frac{\partial \partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \theta &= -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Models rapid phase transitions in certain classes of materials (P. Galenko et al.)

• Cahn-Hilliard equation

Models phase separation processes in binary alloys

In general :

$$f = F', F(s) = \frac{1}{4}(s^2 - 1)^2, f(s) = s^3 - s$$

Approximation of logarithmic potentials :

$$\begin{aligned} F(s) &= -\frac{\kappa_0}{2} s^2 + \kappa_1 [(1+s) \ln(1+s) + (1-s) \ln(1-s)] \\ f(s) &= -\kappa_0 s + \kappa_1 \ln \frac{1+s}{1-s} \\ s &\in (-1,1), \ 0 < \kappa_1 < \kappa_0 \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

Logarithmic terms : entropy of mixing

Regular nonlinear terms : the problems are well understood

The first model problem :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x, t) \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

 Γ : boundary of Ω

Assumptions :

•
$$g, \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times (0,T)), \forall T > 0$$

•
$$f \in C^1(-1, 1), f(0) = 0, f$$
 is odd
• $\lim_{s \to \pm 1} f(s) = \pm \infty, \lim_{s \to \pm 1} f'(s) = +\infty$
• $f' \ge -c_0, F \ge -c_1, c_0, c_1 \ge 0, F(s) = \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau$

• $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega), ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} < 1$

Existence of a solution :

Take $\delta \in (0, 1)$ s.t.

$$\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \delta, \ \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega \times (0,T))} - f(\delta) \leq 0$$

(recall that $\lim_{s \to 1} f(s) = +\infty$)

Set $U = u - \delta$:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - \Delta U + f(u) - f(\delta) = g - f(\delta)$$

Multiply the equation by $U^+ = \max(U, 0)$ $(f' \ge -c_0)$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|U^+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \le c \|U^+\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

Gronwall's lemma ($U^+(0) = 0$) :

$$u(x,t) \leq \delta$$
, a.e. $(x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T)$

f is odd :

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \delta \in (0,1), \ \forall t \in [0,T]$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

→ We essentially have a problem with a regular nonlinear term Additional regularity : $u(t) \in H^2(\Omega), \forall t \in [0, T]$ Let u_1 and u_2 be 2 solutions with initial data $u_{0,1}$ and $u_{0,2}$ and set $u = u_1 - u_2$ and $u_0 = u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u_1) - f(u_2) = 0\\ &u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\\ &u|_{t=0} = u_0 \end{aligned}$$

Multiply the equation by $u(f' \ge -c_0, c_0 \ge 0)$:

$$||u_1(t) - u_2(t)||_{L^2(\Omega)} \le e^{ct} ||u_{0,1} - u_{0,2}||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

Consequences :

• Uniqueness

• We can define solutions in

$$\Phi = \{ v \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \ \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1 \}$$

(we can consider initial data containing the pure states) We have

$$||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} < 1, t > 0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

(the phases mix instantaneously)

Remark : End of proof of existence : set

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\delta}(s) &= f(s), \ |s| \leq \delta \\ f_{\delta}(s) &= f(\delta) + f'(\delta)(s-\delta), \ s > \delta \\ f_{\delta}(s) &= f(-\delta) + f'(-\delta)(s+\delta), \ s < -\delta \end{aligned}$$

 δ : as above

We have $: f'_{\delta} \ge -c_0, F_{\delta} \ge -c_1$

This yields : $||u_{\delta}(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq \delta \in (0, 1), t \in [0, T]$

Since $f_{\delta} = f$ in $[-\delta, \delta]$, we deduce the existence and uniqueness of the solution

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Remark : Dissipative estimates : we take

$$g = g(x), \ g \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$$

Key step : dissipative $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ -estimate

Consider the ODE's

$$y'_{\pm} + f(y_{\pm}) = h_{\pm} := \pm ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}, \ y_{\pm}(0) = \pm ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |y_{\pm}(t)| &\leq 1 - \delta(D(u_0) + |h_{\pm}|), \ t \in [0, 1] \\ |y_{\pm}(t)| &\leq 1 - \delta(|h_{\pm}|), \ t \geq 1 \\ D(v) &= \frac{1}{1 - \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}} \end{aligned}$$

Comparison principle :

$$y_{-}(t) \le u(x,t) \le y_{+}(t)$$
, a.e. $(x,t) \in \Omega \times R^{+}$

This yields

$$\begin{split} \|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} &\leq 1-\delta, \ t \geq 0\\ \|u(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} &\leq 1-\delta(\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}), \ t \geq 1 \end{split}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

 \rightarrow Dissipative estimate

- \rightarrow Existence of finite-dimensional attractors
- \rightarrow Convergence of trajectories to steady states

Remark : Neumann boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

 ν : unit outer normal

Similar results

Remark : Dynamic boundary conditions

Account for the interactions with the walls in confined systems

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma} u + f_{\Gamma}(u) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

 Δ_{Γ} : Laplace-Beltrami operator f_{Γ} : regular surface nonlinear term Main feature : nonexistence of classical solutions

Counterexample :

$$y'' - f(y) = 0, x \in (-1, 1), y'(\pm 1) = K, K > 0$$

(stationary 1D problem, $f \equiv -K, g \equiv 0$)

No classical solution for K large : critical value K_0 s.t.

- If $K < K_0$: existence of the unique solution s.t. $|y(x)| \le \delta \in (0, 1)$
- If $K > K_0$: no classical solution

The approximate solution y_{δ} converges to the solution to

$$y'' - f(y) = 0, y(\pm 1) = \pm 1$$

 \rightarrow The boundary condition is lost

More generally : the approximate solution u_{δ} converges to u s.t.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = g(x, t) \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma} u + f_{\Gamma}(u) + h(u) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

In general : $h(u) \neq \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$

u is the unique solution to a variational inequality

The equality holds when :

• *f* has a growth of the form $\frac{u}{(1-u^2)^p}$, p > 1, close to ± 1

• $\pm f_{\Gamma}(\pm 1) > 0$

Coupled systems : the situation can be more complicated

Caginalp system : similar results

Generalized Caginalp system based on the Maxwell-Cattaneo law :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \alpha}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} - \Delta \alpha = -u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$$

$$u = \alpha = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \ \alpha|_{t=0} = \alpha_0, \ \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \alpha_0$$

By approximating f as above : existence of a solution s.t.

$$|u(x,t)| < 1$$
 a.e. $(x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T), \ T > 0$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

The uniqueness is not straightforward : we need to estimate

$$\int_{\Omega} (f(u_1) - f(u_2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (u_1 - u_2) \, dx$$

Idea : prove the strict separation property

$$||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \delta(T) \in (0,1), \ t \in [0,T], \ T > 0$$

One possibility : prove an $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ -estimate on $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}$

The best we can have in general :

$$\begin{split} \|\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{1}_{0}(\Omega))} &\leq c(T), \ T > 0 \\ \text{Here}: u_{0} \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega) \times H^{3}(\Omega), \alpha_{0} \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega) \times H^{3}(\Omega), \alpha_{1} \in H^{1}_{0}(\Omega) \times H^{2}(\Omega) \end{split}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

In one space dimension : we can conclude with the continuous injection $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

We can also prove the strict separation in two space dimensions

We need ans estimate of the form

$$||f'(u)||_{L^p(\Omega \times (0,T))} \le c(p,T), \ p \ge 1, \ T > 0$$

(p = 4 is sufficient)

Lemma : We have

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} e^{L|f(u)|} \, dx \, dt \le c(T), \ L > 0, \ T > 0.$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Multiply the equation by $f(u)e^{L|f(u)|}$

Use the young's inequality

$$ab \le \phi(a) + \psi(b), \ a, \ b \ge 0$$

$$\phi(s) = e^s - s - 1, \ \psi(s) = (1 + s)\ln(1 + s) - s, \ s \ge 0$$

 \rightarrow We obtain

$$\int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} |f(u)|^2 e^{L|f(u)|} \, dx \, dt \le c$$
$$+2 \int_{\Omega \times (0,T)} e^{c' |\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t}|} \, dx \, dt$$

We conclude by using the Orlicz embedding

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{c|v|} dx \le e^{c'(\|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}+1)}, \ v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

We assume that

$$|f'| \le e^{c|f| + c'}$$

(True for the logarithmic nonlinear terms)

$$\rightarrow f'(u) \in L^p(\Omega \times (0,T)), \ T > 0, \ p \ge 1$$

This yields, differentiating the equation for u w.r.t. t

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Inject in the equation for α

 $\rightarrow \tfrac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} \in L^\infty(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$

In three space dimensions : we need

$$f'(u) \in L^6(\Omega \times (0,T)), \ T > 0$$

We can conclude when $|f'| \leq c|f|^{\frac{6}{5}} + c'$

 \rightarrow Not satisfied by the logarithmic nonlinear terms

Satisfied when f has a growth of the form

$$\frac{c}{(1-s^2)^r}, \ r \ge 5, \ c > 0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

close to ± 1

The second model problem :

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &+ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = g, \ \epsilon > 0 \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0, \ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = u_1 \end{aligned}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

For simplicity : $g = g(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$

Here : $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} < 1$

Existence of strong solutions only (when $\epsilon > 0$ is small and the initial data are not too large)

We are not able to prove the existence of weak solutions

Main ingredients :

• Perturbation argument : the solutions remain close to those of the limit parabolic problem

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Dissipativity provided by the equation

Theorem : There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a monotone decreasing function $R: (0, \epsilon_0] \rightarrow R^+$ satisfying

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} R(\epsilon) = +\infty$$

s.t., for every initial data satisfying

$$D(u_0) + (\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon \|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le R(\epsilon),$$

there exists a unique global solution s.t.

$$\begin{split} D(u(t)) &+ \|u(t)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \epsilon \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(s)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \, ds \\ &\leq Q(D(u_{0}) + (\|u_{0}\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \epsilon \|u_{1}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|u_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}})e^{-\alpha t} \\ &+ Q(\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}), \ \alpha > 0, \end{split}$$

where α and Q are independent of ϵ and $D(v) = \frac{1}{1 - \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}$.

Uniqueness : standard ($f' \ge -c_0, c_0 \ge 0$)

Existence : follows the following steps :

Step 1 : Dissipative estimate in $H^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \epsilon \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \|\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(s)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} ds \\ &\leq Q(D(u_{0}) + (\|u_{0}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \epsilon \|u_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}})e^{-\alpha t} \\ &+ Q(\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}), \ \alpha > 0 \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 α and Q independent of ϵ

Step 2 : Consider the limit parabolic problem ($\epsilon = 0$) :

$$\frac{\partial u^0}{\partial t} - \Delta u^0 + f(u^0) = g$$

$$u^0 = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

$$u^0|_{t=0} = u_0$$

We have :

 $D(u^{0}(t)) + ||u^{0}(t)||^{2}_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leq Q(D(u_{0}) + ||u_{0}||^{2}_{H^{2}(\Omega)})e^{-\alpha t} + Q(||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}), \ \alpha > 0$ **Step 3 :** Compare the solution to the hyperbolic problem to that to the limit parabolic problem :

$$\|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} \leq \epsilon(Q(D(u_{0}) + \|u_{0}\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \epsilon\|u_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2})e^{-\alpha t} + Q(\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}))$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\alpha > 0$ and Q independent of ϵ

Step 4 : Multiply the equation by $-\Delta(\beta u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}), \beta > 0$ small enough :

$$\frac{dE_{u}(t)}{dt} + \beta E_{u}(t) + \frac{\beta}{2} (\|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}) \le c \|f(u(t))\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}$$

where

$$E_u(t) = \epsilon \|\nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \beta \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 -2((g, \Delta u(t)))_{L^2(\Omega)} + \beta \epsilon((\nabla u(t), \nabla \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t)))_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Step 5 : Estimate $||f(u(t))||_{H^1(\Omega)}$

We have :

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u(t)) - f(u^{0}(t))\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} &\leq M_{f}(\frac{1}{1 - \|u^{0}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} - \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}) \times \\ &\times (1 + \|u^{0}(t)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|u(t)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}) \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \end{aligned}$$

 M_f : smooth monotone increasing function only depending on f and satisfying

$$\lim_{z \to +\infty} M_f(z) = +\infty$$

 u^0 : solution to the limit parabolic problem

Consider the interpolation inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} &\leq c \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} &\leq c \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|u(t) - u^{0}(t)\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{4}} \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(u(t))\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} &\leq Q_{0}\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+E_{u}(t))^{2}M_{f}(\frac{1}{(\overline{Q}+Q_{0})^{-1}-\epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}}(\overline{Q}+Q_{0})(1+E_{u}(t))}) \\ &+Q_{0}e^{-\alpha t}+\overline{Q} \end{aligned}$$

$$Q_0 = Q_0(D(u_0) + (||u_0||^2_{H^2(\Omega)} + \epsilon ||u_1||^2_{H^1(\Omega)} + ||u_1||^2_{L^2(\Omega)})^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

 $\overline{Q} = \overline{Q}(\|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)})$

 $\alpha > 0, Q_0, \overline{Q}$: independent of ϵ

Finally :

$$\frac{dE_{u}(t)}{dt} + \beta E_{u}(t) \leq Q_{0} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + E_{u}(t))^{2} M_{f} \left(\frac{1}{(\overline{Q} + Q_{0})^{-1} - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} (\overline{Q} + Q_{0})(1 + E_{u}(t))}\right) + 2Q_{0} e^{-\alpha t} + 2\overline{Q} \qquad (\beta < \alpha)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

Step 6 : Assume that

$$D(u_0) + (\|u_0\|_{H^2(\Omega)}^2 + \epsilon \|u_1\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le R(\epsilon)$$

 $R = R(\epsilon)$ solves

$$\overline{Q} = Q_0(R)\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + 2(\beta - \alpha)^{-1}Q_0(R) + 3\beta^{-1}\overline{Q})^2 \times M_f(\frac{1}{(\overline{Q} + Q_0(R))^{-1} - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}}(\overline{Q} + Q_0(R))(1 + 2(\beta - \alpha)^{-1}Q_0(R) + 3\beta^{-1}\overline{Q})})$$

Then :

$$E_u(t) \le E_0(t)$$

where

$$E_{0}(t) = 2(\beta - \alpha)^{-1}Q_{0}(D(u_{0}) + (||u_{0}||^{2}_{H^{2}(\Omega)} + \epsilon ||u_{1}||^{2}_{H^{1}(\Omega)} + ||u_{1}||^{2}_{L^{2}(\Omega)})^{\frac{1}{2}}) \times e^{-\alpha t} + 3\beta^{-1}\overline{Q}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Consequence of the comparison principle :

 E_0 satisfies

$$\frac{dE_0(t)}{dt} + \beta E_0(t) \ge Q_0 \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + E_0(t))^2 M_f(\frac{1}{(\overline{Q} + Q_0)^{-1} - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{8}} (\overline{Q} + Q_0)(1 + E_0(t))}) + 2Q_0 e^{-\alpha t} + 2\overline{Q}$$

We can take

$$E_u(0) \le E_0(0)$$

We conclude by noting that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} R(\epsilon) = +\infty$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ∽ � ♥

Further results :

Additional regularity

Existence of finite-dimensional attractors

Extension : hyperbolic relaxation of the Caginalp phase-field system

More difficult : hyperbolic relaxation of the generalized Caginalp phase-field system

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The third model problem :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta f(u) = g$$

$$u = \Delta u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0$$

 $g = g(x,t) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega \times (0,T))$

Approximation of f: existence and uniqueness of the solution s.t.

$$|u(x,t)| < 1$$
 a.e. (x,t) $(||u_0||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} < 1)$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

Strict separation :

• In one space dimension :

 $||u(t)||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le \delta \in (0,1), \ t \in (0,T), \ T > 0$

(continuous embedding $H^1(\Omega) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$)

- In two space dimensions : Orlicz embedding
- In three space dimensions : growth assumption on f : f grows like

$$\frac{c}{(1-s^2)^r}, \ r > \frac{3}{7}$$

close to ± 1

 \rightarrow Not satisfied by the logarithmic nonlinear terms

Remark : Viscous Cahn-Hilliard equation :

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \epsilon \Delta \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta f(u) = g, \ \epsilon \ge 0$$

 $\epsilon = 0$: Cahn-Hilliard equation

 $\epsilon > 0$: strict separation (even in three space dimensions)

Remark : Neumann boundary conditions ($g \equiv 0$) :

$$\frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \Delta^2 u - \Delta f(u) = 0}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial \Delta u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$
$$u|_{t=0} = u_0$$

Main feature : mass conservation

$$\langle u(t) \rangle = \langle u_0 \rangle, \ t \ge 0, \ \langle \cdot \rangle = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} \cdot dx$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Same results as in the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions Key step : $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ -estimate

 \rightarrow We rewrite the equation as

$$(-\Delta)^{-1}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta u + f(u) = \langle f(u) \rangle$$

 $(-\Delta)^{-1}$: acts on functions with null average

We need to deal with the nonlocal term

$$\langle f(u) \rangle = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} f(u) \, dx$$

 \rightarrow Additional mathematical difficulties

Remark : Dynamic boundary conditions :

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(-\Delta u + f(u)) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma} u + f_{\Gamma}(u) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma}$$

 $f_{\Gamma}: \operatorname{affine}$

The situation is similar to what was said in the first model problem :

Nonexistence of classical solutions

Existence of classical solutions if f satisfies growth assumptions or f_{Γ} satisfies sign assumptions

The sequence u_{δ} converges to the solution to the Cahn-Hilliard equation with

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}(-\Delta u + f(u)) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma} u + f_{\Gamma}(u) + h(u) = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma}$$

In general : $h(u) \neq \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$

Existence of finite-dimensional attractors :

Neumann boundary conditions :

Main difficulty : no strict separation in three space dimensions We can define the continuous (in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$) semigroup

$$S(t): \Phi_m \to \Phi_m, \ u_0 \mapsto u(t), \ t \ge 0, \ m \in (-1, 1)$$
$$S(0) = \operatorname{Id}, \ S(t+s) = S(t) \circ S(s), \ t, \ s \ge 0$$
$$\Phi_m = \{ v \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma,$$

$$\|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1, < v >= m\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目▶ ◆目▶ 目 のへで

Definition : Let Φ be a Banach space and S(t) be a semigroup acting on Φ . A set $\mathcal{A} \subset \Phi$ is called the global attractor for S(t) if

(i) \mathcal{A} is compact in Φ .

(ii) $S(t)\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}, t \ge 0.$

(iii) $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\forall B \subset \Phi$ bounded, $\exists t_0 = t_0(B, \epsilon) \ge 0$ s.t. $t \ge t_0$ implies $S(t)B \subset U_{\epsilon}$, where U_{ϵ} is the ϵ -neighborhood of \mathcal{A} .

The global attractor is unique

It is the smallest closed set satisfying (iii)

Dimension : fractal (entropy) dimension

First proof of existence of the global attractor : A. Debussche-L. Dettori

Finite-dimensionality : based on the differentiability of the semigroup

- コン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4回ン・4日ン

- \rightarrow The strict separation from ± 1 was necessary
- \rightarrow Could be proved only for small domains

Theorem : For every $m \in (0, 1)$, $\exists \mathcal{A}_m \subset H^2(\Omega)$ s.t.

- (i) \mathcal{A}_m is compact in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.
- (ii) \mathcal{A}_m has finite fractal dimension in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.
- (iii) \mathcal{A}_m attracts Φ_m in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$.
- \rightarrow No restriction on the size of Ω

Existence of the global attractor : follows from classical results

Finite-dimensionality : construction of an exponential attractor

Exponential attractor : compact and positively invariant $(S(t)\mathcal{M}_m \subset \mathcal{M}_m, t \ge 0)$ set which contains the global attractor, has finite fractal dimension and attracts exponentially fast the trajectories

Main tool : find a proper set C s.t.

$$\|S(t)u_1 - S(t)u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le c(t)\|u_1 - u_2\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}$$

for some t > 0, $\forall u_1, u_2 \in C$

 \mathcal{A}_m is trivial if *m* is large : $\exists M \in (0, 1)$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{A}_m = \{m\} \text{ if } |m| \ge M$$

Set $S(t)(\pm 1) = \pm 1$

Then

$$S(t)\Phi = \Phi, \ \Phi = \cup_{|m| \le 1} \Phi_m = B_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}(0,1)$$

Set $\mathcal{A}_{\pm 1} = \{\pm 1\}$

Theorem : The semigroup S(t) possesses the finite-dimensional global attractor

$$\mathcal{A} = \cup_{|m| \leq 1} \mathcal{A}_m$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □ ● ● ● ●

on Φ .

Dynamic boundary conditions :

Main difficulty : the order parameter can reach the pure states on a set with nonzero measure on the boundary

We can define the continuous (in $H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$) semigroup S(t) acting on

$$\Phi_m = \{ (u, u|_{\Gamma}) \in \Phi, < u >= m \}, \ m \in (-1, 1)$$

Here :

$$\Phi = \{(v, v|_{\Gamma}) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \times L^{\infty}(\Gamma), \ \|v\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le 1, \ \|v|_{\Gamma}\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma)} \le 1\}$$

S(t) is associated with the solutions obtained via the regularization of f

Theorem : For every $m \in (-1, 1)$, the semigroup S(t) possesses the finite-dimensional global attractor \mathcal{A}_m which is bounded in $\mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{C}^{\alpha}(\Gamma)$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$.

Existence of the global attractor : follows from classical results

Finite-dimensionality : construction of an exponential attractor

We need some (asymptotically) compact smoothing property on the difference of 2 solutions

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{\Phi^{w}}^2 &\leq c e^{-\beta t} \|u_1(0) - u_2(0)\|_{\Phi^{w}}^2 + c' \int_0^t \|\theta(u_1(s) - u_2(s))\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\beta > 0, \theta$: smooth cut-off function

 $\Phi^{\mathrm{w}} = H^{-1}(\Omega) \times L^2(\Gamma)$

 \rightarrow Contraction, up to $\|\theta(u_1 - u_2)\|_{L^2(0,t;L^2(\Omega))}$

Compactness : we work on spaces of trajectories and use the compactness of

$$L^{2}(0,t;H^{1}(\Omega)) \cap H^{1}(0,t;H^{-3}(\Omega)) \subset L^{2}(0,t;L^{2}(\Omega))$$

< □ > < 同 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\theta(u_1 - u_2)]\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^{-3}(\Omega))} + \\ \|\theta(u_1 - u_2)\|^2_{L^2(0,t;H^{1}(\Omega))} &\leq \\ c e^{c't} \|u_1(0) - u_2(0)\|^2_{H^{-1}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Gamma)} \end{aligned}$$

 $u_1(0), u_2(0) \in B_{H^{-1}(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Gamma)}(u_0, \epsilon), \epsilon > 0$ small