



**Weierstrass Institute for  
Applied Analysis and Stochastics**



## **A time discretization for a nonstandard viscous CAHN–HILLIARD system**

Jürgen Sprekels, Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics (WIAS),  
Berlin, Germany

(joint work with P. Colli, G. Gilardi, P. Podio-Guidugli and P. Krejčí)



**Dedicated  
to Gianni!**



We consider the modified Cahn–Hilliard system

$$(\varepsilon + 2g(\rho))\mu_t + \mu g'(\rho) \rho_t - \Delta\mu = 0 \quad \text{in } Q := \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (1)$$

$$\rho_r - \Delta\rho + f'(\rho) = \mu g'(\rho) \quad \text{in } Q \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_\nu \mu = \partial_\nu \rho = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \quad (3)$$

$$\mu|_{t=0} = \mu^0, \quad \rho|_{t=0} = \rho^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4)$$

We consider the modified Cahn–Hilliard system

$$(\varepsilon + 2g(\rho))\mu_t + \mu g'(\rho) \rho_t - \Delta\mu = 0 \quad \text{in } Q := \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (1)$$

$$\rho_r - \Delta\rho + f'(\rho) = \mu g'(\rho) \quad \text{in } Q \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_\nu \mu = \partial_\nu \rho = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \quad (3)$$

$$\mu|_{t=0} = \mu^0, \quad \rho|_{t=0} = \rho^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4)$$

- Model by P. Podio-Guidugli (2006) for phase segregation through atom rearrangement on a lattice

We consider the modified Cahn–Hilliard system

$$(\varepsilon + 2g(\rho))\mu_t + \mu g'(\rho) \rho_t - \Delta\mu = 0 \quad \text{in } Q := \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (1)$$

$$\rho_r - \Delta\rho + f'(\rho) = \mu g'(\rho) \quad \text{in } Q \quad (2)$$

$$\partial_\nu \mu = \partial_\nu \rho = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma := \partial\Omega \times (0, T) \quad (3)$$

$$\mu|_{t=0} = \mu^0, \quad \rho|_{t=0} = \rho^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (4)$$

- Model by P. Podio-Guidugli (2006) for phase segregation through atom rearrangement on a lattice
- Studied for the special case  $g(\rho) = \rho$  in a series of papers by P. Colli, G. Gilardi, P. Podio-Guidugli and J. S. for the Allen–Cahn version (2010) and the Cahn–Hilliard version (2011 ff.) concerning well-posedness, optimal control and asymptotic behavior as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ .

- $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^M$  is an open and bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$  and outward unit normal field  $\nu$ .
- $f = f_1 + f_2$ , where  $f_2 \in C^2[0, 1]$ , and where  $f_1 \in C^2(0, 1)$  is convex and satisfies  $\lim_{r \searrow 0} f_1'(r) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{r \nearrow 1} f_1'(r) = +\infty$ .
- $g \in W^{2,\infty}(0, 1)$  and  $g(\rho) \geq 0 \quad \forall \rho \in [0, 1]$ .
- $\mu^0 \in V \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and  $\mu^0 \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .
- $\rho^0 \in W$ ,  $0 < \rho^0 < 1$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and  $f'(\rho^0) \in H$ .

Here, we set:  $V := H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $H := L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $W := \{v \in H^2(\Omega); \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$ .

In the recent CGPS paper

“Global existence and uniqueness for a singular/degenerate Cahn–Hilliard system with viscosity” (submitted, see WIAS preprint No. 1713 (2012))

it was shown that (1)–(4) has a unique solution  $(\mu, \rho)$  having the following properties:

- $\mu \in H^1(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; W) \cap L^\infty(Q)$
- $\rho \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T; H) \cap H^1(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W)$
- $\mu \geq 0$  a.e. in  $Q$
- There exist  $\rho_*, \rho^* \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\rho_* \leq \rho \leq \rho^*$  a.e. in  $Q$ .

A similar result can also be obtained under weaker conditions (see the above paper).

In this talk, we make the first step towards the **numerical approximation** of (1)–(4). We choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $h = \frac{T}{N}$ , and consider for  $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$  the time-discretized problem (where we put  $\varepsilon = 1$ )

$$(1 + 2g(\rho_n)) \frac{\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n}{h} + \frac{g(\rho_{n+1}) - g(\rho_n)}{h} \mu_{n+1} - \Delta \mu_{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} - \Delta \rho_{n+1} + f'(\rho_{n+1}) = \mu_n g'(\rho_n) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (6)$$

$$\partial_\nu \mu_{n+1} = \partial_\nu \rho_{n+1} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (7)$$

$$\mu_0 = \mu^0, \quad \rho_0 = \rho^0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (8)$$

**AIM:** Well-posedness of the scheme, convergence of discrete solutions to  $(\mu, \rho)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , error estimates



We argue by induction for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that for some  $0 \leq n < N - 1$  we have found  $(\mu_n, \rho_n) \in W \times W$  such that  $\mu_n \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ ,  $f'(\rho_n) \in H$  and  $0 < \rho_n < 1$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

We rewrite (5), (6) in the form

$$(1 + g(\rho_n) + g(\rho_{n+1})) \mu_{n+1} - h \Delta \mu_{n+1} = (1 + 2g(\rho_n)) \mu_n \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (9)$$

$$\rho_{n+1} - h \Delta \rho_{n+1} + h f'(\rho_{n+1}) = \rho_n + h \mu_n g'(\rho_n) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (10)$$

Now let  $\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}_1 + \tilde{f}_2$ , where

- $\tilde{f}_2$  is any smooth extension of  $f_2$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ ,
- $\tilde{f}_1$  is the unique convex and l.s.c. extension of  $f_1$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  that satisfies  $\tilde{f}_1(r) = +\infty$  if  $r \notin (0, 1)$ .

Then the function  $r \mapsto \frac{1}{2}r^2 + h \tilde{f}(r)$  is strictly convex provided that

$$h \max_{0 \leq r \leq 1} |f_2''(r)| < 1 \quad (11)$$

We will always assume this in the following.

For  $h > 0$  satisfying (11), it follows from standard arguments that the strictly convex, coercive and l.s.c. functional  $J : V \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ ,

$$J(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{h}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{v^2}{2} + h \tilde{f}(v) \right) dx - \int_{\Omega} (\rho_n + h \mu_n g'(\rho_n)) v dx, & \text{if } \tilde{f}(v) \in L^1(\Omega) \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

has a unique minimizer  $\rho_{n+1}$  on  $V$ . Standard arguments (maximal monotonicity, Euler-Lagrange, elliptic regularity) then show that actually  $\rho_{n+1} \in W$  solves (10) and that  $0 < \rho_{n+1} < 1$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

But then also  $g(\rho_{n+1}) \geq 0$  in  $\Omega$ , and the elliptic boundary value problem (9),  $\partial_\nu \mu_{n+1} = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , has a unique solution  $\mu_{n+1} \in W$ . Testing (9) by  $-\mu_{n+1}^- \leq 0$  yields immediately that  $\mu_{n+1} \geq 0$  a.e. in  $\Omega$ .

Step 1: Test (5) by  $h\mu_{n+1} \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_{n+1}\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_n\|_H^2 + h \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mu_{n+1}|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} [g(\rho_{n+1})\mu_{n+1}^2 - g(\rho_n)\mu_n^2 + g(\rho_n)(\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n)^2] dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Summation  $\implies$

Step 1: Test (5) by  $h \mu_{n+1} \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_{n+1}\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\mu_n\|_H^2 + h \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mu_{n+1}|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} [g(\rho_{n+1})\mu_{n+1}^2 - g(\rho_n)\mu_n^2 + g(\rho_n)(\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n)^2] dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Summation  $\implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} + g(\rho_n) \right) \mu_m^2 dx + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} + g(\rho_n) \right) |\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n|^2 dx \\ & + h \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \mu_{n+1}|^2 dx \leq C, \quad 1 \leq m \leq N. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

**Step 2:** Test (6) by  $\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n \implies$

$$h \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \nabla \rho_{n+1} \right\|_H^2 - \left\| \nabla \rho_n \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \nabla (\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n) \right\|_H^2 \right) \\ + \int_{\Omega} \left( f_1(\rho_{n+1}) - f_1(\rho_n) \right) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} C h \left( 1 + |\mu_n| \right) \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} dx ,$$

using the boundedness of  $g'$  and  $f_2'$ . Young's inequality and summation over  $n$  yield

Step 2: Test (6) by  $\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n \implies$

$$h \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \nabla \rho_{n+1} \right\|_H^2 - \left\| \nabla \rho_n \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \nabla(\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n) \right\|_H^2 \right) \\ + \int_{\Omega} \left( f_1(\rho_{n+1}) - f_1(\rho_n) \right) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} C h \left( 1 + |\mu_n| \right) \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} dx ,$$

using the boundedness of  $g'$  and  $f_2'$ . Young's inequality and summation over  $n$  yield

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \nabla \rho_m \right\|_H^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left\| \nabla(\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n) \right\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} f_1(\rho_m) dx \leq C. \quad (13)$$

**Step 2:** Test (6) by  $\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n \implies$

$$h \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \nabla \rho_{n+1} \right\|_H^2 - \left\| \nabla \rho_n \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \nabla(\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n) \right\|_H^2 \right) \\ + \int_{\Omega} \left( f_1(\rho_{n+1}) - f_1(\rho_n) \right) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} C h \left( 1 + |\mu_n| \right) \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} dx ,$$

using the boundedness of  $g'$  and  $f_2'$ . Young's inequality and summation over  $n$  yield

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \nabla \rho_m \right\|_H^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left\| \nabla(\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n) \right\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} f_1(\rho_m) dx \leq C. \quad (13)$$

**Step 3:** Test (6) by  $-h \Delta \rho_{n+1}$  and by  $h f_1'(\rho_{n+1}) \implies$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h \left\| \rho_n \right\|_W^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h \left\| f_1'(\rho_m) \right\|_H^2 \leq C \quad (14)$$

**Step 4:** Take the difference of (6), written for  $n + 1$  and  $n$ , and test by

$h^{-1}(\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1})$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} \right\|_H^2 - \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} - \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 \right] \\ & + h \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} \right|^2 dx + \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} \left( f_1'(\rho_{n+2}) - f_1'(\rho_{n+1}) \right) (\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}) dx \\ \leq & C h \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} \right\|_H^2 + C h \int_{\Omega} \mu_{n+1} \left| \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} \right| \left| \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right| dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} (\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n) g'(\rho_n) \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} dx. \end{aligned}$$

One now substitutes for  $\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n$  from (5). Young, Hölder, the embedding  $V \hookrightarrow L^4(\Omega)$  and the **discrete Gronwall lemma** imply the estimate



$$\left\| \frac{\rho_{m+1} - \rho_m}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left\| \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} - \frac{\rho_{n+1} - \rho_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h \left\| \nabla \frac{\rho_{n+2} - \rho_{n+1}}{h} \right\|_H^2 \leq C. \quad (15)$$

**Step 5:** Write (5) in the form

$$\left(1 + g(\rho_n) + g(\rho_{n+1})\right) \frac{\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n}{h} + \frac{g(\rho_{n+1}) - g(\rho_n)}{h} \mu_n - \Delta \mu_{n+1} = 0$$

and test by  $h^{-1}(\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n)$ . Hölder, Young, embeddings, discrete Gronwall  $\implies$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} h \left\| \frac{\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n}{h} \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \nabla \mu_m \right\|_H^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \left\| \nabla (\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n) \right\|_H^2 \leq C. \quad (16)$$

From this, we can deduce further estimates by comparison.

Let  $t_n = nh$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N$ . We define for  $t_{n-1} < t \leq t_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ :

$$\mu^h(t) = \mu_n, \quad \bar{\mu}^h = \mu_{n-1}, \quad \rho^h(t) = \rho_n, \quad \bar{\rho}^h(t) = \rho_{n-1}, \quad \xi^h(t) = f_1'(\rho_n),$$

$$\tilde{\mu}^h(t) = \mu_{n-1} + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}), \quad \tilde{\rho}^h(t) = \rho_{n-1} + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}),$$

$$\tilde{\eta}^h(t) = g(\rho_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(g(\rho_n) - g(\rho_{n-1})).$$

Let  $t_n = nh$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N$ . We define for  $t_{n-1} < t \leq t_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^h(t) &= \mu_n, \quad \bar{\mu}^h = \mu_{n-1}, \quad \rho^h(t) = \rho_n, \quad \bar{\rho}^h(t) = \rho_{n-1}, \quad \xi^h(t) = f'_1(\rho_n), \\ \tilde{\mu}^h(t) &= \mu_{n-1} + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}), \quad \tilde{\rho}^h(t) = \rho_{n-1} + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}), \\ \tilde{\eta}^h(t) &= g(\rho_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(g(\rho_n) - g(\rho_{n-1})).\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for  $t_{n-1} < t \leq t_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , we have

$$\tilde{\mu}_t^h(t) = \frac{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}{h}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_t^h = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}}{h}, \quad \tilde{\eta}_t^h = \frac{g(\rho_n) - g(\rho_{n-1})}{h}. \quad (17)$$

Let  $t_n = nh$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq N$ . We define for  $t_{n-1} < t \leq t_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^h(t) &= \mu_n, \quad \bar{\mu}^h = \mu_{n-1}, \quad \rho^h(t) = \rho_n, \quad \bar{\rho}^h(t) = \rho_{n-1}, \quad \xi^h(t) = f'_1(\rho_n), \\ \tilde{\mu}^h(t) &= \mu_{n-1} + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}), \quad \tilde{\rho}^h(t) = \rho_{n-1} + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}), \\ \tilde{\eta}^h(t) &= g(\rho_{n-1}) + \frac{1}{h}(t - t_{n-1})(g(\rho_n) - g(\rho_{n-1})).\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, for  $t_{n-1} < t \leq t_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq N$ , we have

$$\tilde{\mu}_t^h(t) = \frac{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}{h}, \quad \tilde{\rho}_t^h = \frac{\rho_n - \rho_{n-1}}{h}, \quad \tilde{\eta}_t^h = \frac{g(\rho_n) - g(\rho_{n-1})}{h}. \quad (17)$$

Notice that we have

$$\|\tilde{\mu}^h - \bar{\mu}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N h^{-2} \|\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}\|_H^2 \int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} (t - t_{n-1})^2 dt = \frac{h}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N \|\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}\|_H^2 \leq Ch. \quad (18)$$

Due to regularity of the time derivative of  $\tilde{\mu}^h$ , we even have

$$\|\tilde{\mu}^h - \bar{\mu}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 = \frac{h^2}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N h \left\| \frac{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}{h} \right\|_H^2 = \frac{h^2}{3} \|\tilde{\mu}_t^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2 \leq Ch^2. \quad (19)$$

Similar estimates can be proved for

$$\|\tilde{\mu}^h - \mu^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\mu^h - \bar{\mu}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\tilde{\rho}^h - \rho^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\rho^h - \bar{\rho}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2.$$

and even for stronger norms.

Similar estimates can be proved for

$$\|\tilde{\mu}^h - \mu^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\mu^h - \bar{\mu}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\tilde{\rho}^h - \rho^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\rho^h - \bar{\rho}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2.$$

and even for stronger norms.

Owing to the a priori estimates, we have for  $h \searrow 0$  (i.e., for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ):

$$\tilde{\mu}^h \rightharpoonup \mu \quad \text{weakly star in } H^1(0, T; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V) \quad (20)$$

$$\mu^h \rightharpoonup \mu \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; W) \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\mu}^h, \bar{\mu}^h, \mu^h \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (\text{by compactness}) \quad (22)$$

Similar estimates can be proved for

$$\|\tilde{\mu}^h - \mu^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\mu^h - \bar{\mu}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\tilde{\rho}^h - \rho^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\rho^h - \bar{\rho}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2.$$

and even for stronger norms.

Owing to the a priori estimates, we have for  $h \searrow 0$  (i.e., for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ):

$$\tilde{\mu}^h \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{weakly star in } H^1(0, T; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V) \quad (20)$$

$$\mu^h \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; W) \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\mu}^h, \bar{\mu}^h, \mu^h \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (\text{by compactness}) \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}^h \rightarrow \rho & \quad \text{weakly star in } W^{1,\infty}(0, T; H) \cap H^1(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W) \\ & \quad \text{and strongly in } C^0([0, T]; H^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{Q}) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^h, \bar{\rho}^h \rightarrow \rho & \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; W) \\ & \quad \text{and strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; V) \quad (\text{by compactness}) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Similar estimates can be proved for

$$\|\tilde{\mu}^h - \mu^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\mu^h - \bar{\mu}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\tilde{\rho}^h - \rho^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2, \quad \|\rho^h - \bar{\rho}^h\|_{L^2(Q)}^2.$$

and even for stronger norms.

Owing to the a priori estimates, we have for  $h \searrow 0$  (i.e., for  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ):

$$\tilde{\mu}^h \rightharpoonup \mu \quad \text{weakly star in } H^1(0, T; H) \cap L^\infty(0, T; V) \quad (20)$$

$$\mu^h \rightharpoonup \mu \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; W) \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\mu}^h, \bar{\mu}^h, \mu^h \rightarrow \mu \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (\text{by compactness}) \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}^h \rightarrow \rho & \quad \text{weakly star in } W^{1,\infty}(0, T; H) \cap H^1(0, T; V) \cap L^\infty(0, T; W) \\ & \quad \text{and strongly in } C^0([0, T]; H^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow C^0(\bar{Q}) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^h, \bar{\rho}^h \rightarrow \rho & \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; W) \\ & \quad \text{and strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; V) \quad (\text{by compactness}) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\xi^h \rightarrow \xi \quad \text{weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\eta}^h \rightarrow \eta & \quad \text{weakly star in } W^{1,\infty}(0, T; H) \cap H^1(0, T; V) \\ & \quad \text{and strongly in } C^0([0, T]; H) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$



Identification of the limits:

- Since  $f'_1$  is maximal monotone, we easily deduce that  $\xi = f'_1(\rho)$

Identification of the limits:

- Since  $f_1'$  is maximal monotone, we easily deduce that  $\xi = f_1'(\rho)$
- Using  $V \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)$  and the Lipschitz continuity of  $g, g', f_2'$ , we find

$$g(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow g(\rho), \quad g'(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow g'(\rho), \quad f_2'(\rho^h) \rightarrow f_2'(\rho),$$

all strongly in  $L^\infty(0, T; L^6(\Omega))$ . (27)

Identification of the limits:

- Since  $f'_1$  is maximal monotone, we easily deduce that  $\xi = f'_1(\rho)$
- Using  $V \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)$  and the Lipschitz continuity of  $g, g', f'_2$ , we find

$$g(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow g(\rho), \quad g'(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow g'(\rho), \quad f'_2(\rho^h) \rightarrow f'_2(\rho),$$

all strongly in  $L^\infty(0, T; L^6(\Omega))$ .

(27)

- Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^h, \bar{\eta}^h &\rightarrow g(\rho) \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; L^6(\Omega)) \implies \\ \tilde{\eta}^h &\rightarrow \eta \equiv g(\rho) \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; L^6(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$
(28)

Identification of the limits:

- Since  $f'_1$  is maximal monotone, we easily deduce that  $\xi = f'_1(\rho)$
- Using  $V \hookrightarrow L^6(\Omega)$  and the Lipschitz continuity of  $g, g', f'_2$ , we find

$$g(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow g(\rho), \quad g'(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow g'(\rho), \quad f'_2(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow f'_2(\rho),$$

all strongly in  $L^\infty(0, T; L^6(\Omega))$ .

(27)

- Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^h, \bar{\eta}^h &\rightarrow g(\rho) \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; L^6(\Omega)) \implies \\ \tilde{\eta}^h &\rightarrow \eta \equiv g(\rho) \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; L^6(\Omega)) \end{aligned}$$
(28)

- Moreover,

$$\bar{\mu}^h g'(\bar{\rho}^h) \rightarrow \mu g'(\rho) \quad \text{strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; L^{3/2}(\Omega))$$
(29)

$$g(\bar{\rho}^h) \tilde{\mu}_t^h \rightarrow g(\rho) \mu_t \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}(\Omega))$$
(30)

$$\tilde{\eta}_t^h \mu^h \rightarrow (g(\rho))_t \mu \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^{3/2}(\Omega))$$
(31)

Now observe that the discrete equations can be written as

$$(1 + 2g(\bar{\rho}^h)) \tilde{\mu}_t^h + (\tilde{\eta}_t^h) \mu^h - \Delta \mu^h = 0 \quad \text{in } Q \quad (32)$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_t^h - \Delta \rho^h + \xi^h = \bar{\mu}^h g'(\bar{\rho}^h) - f_2'(\rho^h) \quad \text{in } Q \quad (33)$$

$$\partial_\nu \mu^h = \partial_\nu \rho^h = 0 \quad \text{on } \Sigma \quad (34)$$

$$\tilde{\mu}^h(0) = \mu^0, \quad \tilde{\rho}^h(0) = \rho^0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (35)$$

It thus follows that the limit  $(\mu, \rho)$  is the (unique) solution to (1)–(4) !

### General strategy:

- Subtract (1) from (32) and test the difference by  $(\tilde{\rho}^h - \rho)_t$ .
- Subtract (2) from (33) and test the difference by  $\tilde{\mu}^h - \mu$ .
- Add the results.
- **Estimate !**

This strategy works in principle but requires lengthy estimates using similar techniques as in the derivation of the a priori estimates. However, one needs two preparatory results:

**Preparation 1:** We have for  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , by interpolation,

$$\|(\rho^h - \rho)(t)\|_{H^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega)} \leq C \|(\rho^h - \rho)(t)\|_V^\alpha \|(\rho^h - \rho)(t)\|_W^{1-\alpha}$$

for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  depending on  $\varepsilon$ , and thus (23), (24) imply

$$\rho^h \rightarrow \rho \text{ strongly in } L^\infty(0, T; H^{2-\varepsilon}(\Omega)) \quad (36)$$

We infer that there are  $\bar{N} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\bar{r}, \hat{r}$  such that for any  $N \geq \bar{N}$  it holds (where  $h = h_N = \frac{T}{N}$ )

$$0 < \bar{r} \leq \rho, \rho^h, \bar{\rho}^h, \tilde{\rho}^h \leq \hat{r} < 1 \quad (37)$$

Assuming  $N \geq \bar{N}$  in the following, we can claim henceforth that  $f'_1$  and  $f' = f'_1 + f'_2$  are Lipschitz in the range of relevant arguments.

### Preparation 2:

Under the further assumption  $-\Delta\rho_0 + f'(\rho_0) - \mu_0 g'(\rho_0) \in V$ , which is satisfied if  $\rho_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$ , we can show that

$$\|\tilde{\rho}_t^h\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V) \cap L^2(0,T;W)} \leq C. \quad (38)$$

and

$$\|\Delta(\tilde{\rho}^h - \rho^h)\|_{L^2(0,T;H)} \leq Ch^2. \quad (39)$$

We then obtain the following error estimate:



### Theorem:

Let the general assumptions hold, and let  $\rho_0 \in H^3$ . Suppose that  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  is so large that for  $h = \frac{T}{N}$  we have:

- $\max_{0 \leq r \leq 1} |f_2''(r)| < \frac{1}{h}$ .
- $0 < \bar{r} \leq \rho, \rho^h, \bar{\rho}^h, \hat{\rho}^h \leq \hat{r} < 1$ .

Then it holds

$$\|\tilde{\rho}^h - \rho\|_{H^1(0,T;H) \cap L^\infty(0,T;V)} + \|\tilde{\mu}^h - \mu\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)} \leq C h^{1/2}, \quad (40)$$

where  $C > 0$  depends only on the data.

**Remark:** One obtains  $h$  in place of  $h^{1/2}$  provided one can show that

$$\|\nabla \tilde{\mu}_t^h\|_{L^2(Q)} \leq C. \quad (\text{Ongoing work; requires } \mu_0 \in W)$$

## References:

---

- CGPS: “Existence and uniqueness of a global-in-time solution to a phase segregation problem of the Allen–Cahn type”. *M<sup>3</sup>AS* 20 (2010)
- ———: “A temperature-dependent phase segregation problem of the Allen–Cahn type”. *Adv. Math. Sci. Appl.* 20 (2010)
- ———: “Well-posedness and long-time behavior for a nonstandard Cahn–Hilliard system with viscosity”. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 71 (2011)
- ———: “An asymptotic analysis for a nonstandard viscous Cahn–Hilliard system”. To appear in: *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*
- ———: “Distributed optimal control of a nonstandard system of phase field equations”. *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.* (Online first, 2012)
- ———: “Global existence for a strongly coupled Cahn–Hilliard system with viscosity”. To appear in: *Boll. Unione Ital.* (9)
- ———: “Global existence and uniqueness for a singular/degenerate Cahn–Hilliard system with viscosity”. Submitted, *WIAS Preprint No. 1713*, 2012
- CGS: “Analysis and optimal boundary control of a nonstandard system of phase field equations”. *Milan J. Math.* (Online first, 2012)



Ad multos annos, Gianni !