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The course consists in two main parts:

- Basic properties of the space BV of functions with bounded variation and of the space $B D$ of functions with bounded deformation.
- Analysis of a variational model in plasticity (in the functional framework introduced in the first part).
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- $E u=\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla u+(\nabla u)^{T}\right) \in M_{\text {sym }}^{n \times n}$ : linearized strain;
- $\sigma \in M_{\text {sym }}^{n \times n}$ : stress
- (linear elasticity) $\sigma=\mathbb{C E u}$ ( $\mathbb{C}$ elasticity tensor)
- (Hencky's plasticity) the stress cannot go beyond a fixed threshold, i.e. it is confined in a given subset of $M_{\text {sym }}^{n \times n}$ :

$$
\sigma \in \mathbb{K} \in M_{s y m}^{n \times n}=M_{D}^{n \times n} \oplus \mathbb{R} /
$$

where $M_{D}^{n \times n}$ is the space of trace free $n \times n$ matrices.
Assumption: $\mathbb{K}=K+\mathbb{R} I$, with $K$ convex, compact neighbourhood of 0 in $M_{D}^{n \times n}$.
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The variational approach involves the energy functional

$$
F(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} Q(e) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\Omega} H(p) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\partial \Omega \backslash \Gamma_{0}} g(x) u(x) \mathrm{d} \mathscr{H}^{n-1}
$$

where:
$Q$ : positive definite quadratic form (elastic energy $\mathbb{C e}: e$ )
$H$ : positively 1-homogeneous convex function. ( $H$ is the support function of $K$ ).
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Key fact: since $H$ has linear growth, the minimization problem for $F$ has, in general, no solution in Sobolev spaces; in the natural weak formulation, plastic deformations are allowed to take measure values. This agrees with the points of view of mechanics: shear deformations concentrates, and shear bands can be thought of as sharp discontinuities of the displacement.
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On the other hand, we point out the a wide classical literature makes the space $B V$ a relevant functional space in modern variational analysis.
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we define a piecewise-constant evolution by minimizing iteratively

$$
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(with respect to the triple $(u, e, p)$ ).
The relevant result is now passing to the limit (as $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) in order to get a time-continuous evolution.
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