# A Higgs term for the constant scalar curvature equation in Kähler geometry

#### Carlo Scarpa and Jacopo Stoppa



Carlo Scarpa and Jacopo Stoppa A Higgs term for the cscK equation

ъ

< 🗇 🕨

- Many geometers are still obsessed with the classical equations of motion of gauge theory and gravity.
- Mostly with the wrong (Riemannian) signature and the wrong (compact) kind of space.
- Here we make exactly these mistakes, with even worse spaces (compact complex manifolds). But at least we can now use "complex variables" to understand things much better (Atiyah, Hitchin, Donaldson...).
- Plus a few misleading but pretty pictures.

(雪) (ヨ) (ヨ)

# Complex manifolds

*M* compact complex manifold: local *holomorphic* coordinates  $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}y_i$ . Get tensor  $J \in \text{End}(TM)$  with  $J(\partial_{x_i}) = \partial_{y_i}, J(\partial_{y_i}) = -\partial_{x_i}$ . Note  $J^2 = -I$ .



Figure: Clebsch cubic

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q ()

g Riemannian metric on M.

g Hermitian if J is g-isometry.

Then  $\omega_g = g(J-, -)$  is skew, i.e. a 2-form.

Strongest compatibility:  $\nabla^{g}(J) = 0$ .

It holds iff  $\omega_g$  is symplectic,  $d\omega_g = 0$ . This is the Kähler condition.

▲御 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ 二 臣

(M,g) compact Kähler.

 $E \rightarrow M$  a *holomorphic* vector bundle, with Hermitian metric *h*.

 $\Rightarrow$  we get a canonical "gauge field", the *Chern connection A*(*h*).

"Field strength" = curvature F(h) = F(A(h)), matrix-valued 2-form.

Hermitian Yang-Mills equation:

$$F(h) = \lambda \operatorname{Id} \omega_g.$$

Theorem (Donaldson, Uhlenbeck-Yau)

Solvable iff E is "stable".

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 ののの

(Unitary) gauge transformations G: fibrewise isometries of (E, h).

 $\mathscr{A}$  = complex structures on  $E = \bar{\partial}$ -operators  $\bar{\partial}_A$  ("half-connections").

$${\mathcal G}$$
 acts on  ${\mathscr A}, \, g \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal A} = g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal A} \circ g.$ 

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ……

3

## Theorem (Atiyah-Bott)

The space  $\mathscr{A}$  is ( $\infty$ -dim'l) symplectic. For each infinitesimal gauge transformation  $\xi$ , set

$$m_{AB}(\bar{\partial}_A)(\xi) = \int_M [F(\bar{\partial}_A) - \lambda I \omega_g](\xi) \wedge \omega_g^{n-1}.$$

Then  $m_{AB}(-)(\xi)$  is a Hamiltonian function for  $\xi$ .

That is:  $dm_{AB}(-)(\xi)$  is dual under  $\omega_{\mathscr{A}}$  to the vector field on  $\mathscr{A}$  generated by  $\xi$ .

One says  $m_{AB}$  is a "moment" or "momentum" map (compare to SO(3) acting on phase space!).

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

# Geometric interpretation

## Corollary

The HYM equation on metrics h

$$F(h) = \lambda \operatorname{Id} \omega_g$$

is precisely the symplectic reduction equation

 $m_{AB}(\bar{\partial}_A)=0$ 

along orbits of the complexification  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .



#### Figure: Moment map

프 에 에 프 어

ъ

# Adding a Higgs field

Hitchin does HYM with a *Higgs field*  $\phi$ , matrix-valued *1-form*.  $\Rightarrow$  harmonic bundle equations:

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(h) + [\phi, \phi^{*h}] &= \lambda \operatorname{\mathsf{Id}} \omega_{m{g}} \ ar{\partial} \phi &= m{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Hitchin, Donaldson, Corlette, Simpson)

Solvable iff E is "stable relative to  $\phi$ ".



Figure: A Higgs doing something higgsy, unrelated and misleading. By Lucas Taylor / CERN, CC BY-SA 3.0

ヘロン 人間 とくほど 人 ほとう

Cotangent space  $T^* \mathscr{A}$  is both Kähler and *holomorphic* symplectic.

 $\Rightarrow$  real and complex symplectic forms  $\omega_{T^*\mathscr{A}}$ ,  $\Omega_{T^*\mathscr{A}}$ .

The two structures interact in the nicest possible way (**hyperkähler** condition). Quite easy because *A* is affine.

Promote gauge action to  $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright T^* \mathscr{A}$ , preserving  $\omega_{T^* \mathscr{A}}$ ,  $\Omega_{T^* \mathscr{A}}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Theorem (Hitchin)

The action  $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright T^* \mathscr{A}$  admits moment maps  $m_{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $m_{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to both  $\omega_{T^* \mathscr{A}}$ ,  $\Omega_{T^* \mathscr{A}}$ . The harmonic bundle equations

$$F(h) + [\phi, \phi^{*h}] = \lambda \operatorname{Id} \omega_g$$
$$\bar{\partial} \phi = \mathbf{0}.$$

are precisely the equations of hyper-symplectic reduction

$$egin{aligned} m_{\mathbb{R}}(h,\phi) &= 0 \ m_{\mathbb{C}}(h,\phi) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

along orbits of  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Starting point of **nonabelian Hodge theory** (Hitchin, Simpson...)

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

Kähler g is Riemannian, so get curvature tensor Riem(g). **Ricci curvature** is equivalent to Ricci form

$$egin{aligned} \mathsf{Ric}(\omega_g) &= -\sqrt{-1}\partialar\partial\log\det(g) \ &= -\sqrt{-1}\partial_i\partial_{ar l}\log\det(g_{kar l})dz_i\wedge dar z_j. \end{aligned}$$

Scalar curvature is given by

$$s(g) = -\sqrt{-1}g^{i\overline{j}}\partial_i\partial_{\overline{j}}\log\det(g).$$

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 > -

æ

# Kähler-Einstein metrics

g is Riemannian Einstein iff

 $\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_g) = \lambda \omega_g.$ 

Can assume  $\lambda \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ .



#### Figure: A solution for $\lambda = 0$

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

# Kähler-Einstein metrics

Taking cohomology

$$H^2(M,\mathbb{Z}) \ni c_1(X) = (2\pi)^{-1}[\operatorname{Ric}(\omega_g)] = (2\pi)^{-1}\lambda[\omega_g].$$

So *M* must be general type ( $\lambda = -1$ ), Calabi-Yau ( $\lambda = 0$ ) or Fano ( $\lambda = 1$ ).

#### **But most manifolds do not fit into one of these categories!** E.g. not preserved by "holomorphic surgery".



## Figure: Holomorphic surgery (Blowup)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q ()

KE constrains  $[\omega_g]$  (except for CYs). Moving beyond this, one looks at **cscK metrics** 

$$s(g) = \hat{s}$$

and more generally at extremal metrics

$$\bar{\partial} \nabla^{1,0} s(g) = 0.$$

They are critical points for all the functionals

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} (s(g))^2, \ \int_{\mathcal{M}} ||\operatorname{Ric}(g)||_g^2, \ \int_{\mathcal{M}} ||\operatorname{Riem}(g)||_g^2.$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

ъ

Gauge group  $\mathcal{G} = \text{Ham}(M, \omega_0)$ .

" $\bar{\partial}$ -operators"  $\mathscr{J} =$  compatible almost complex structures J.  $\mathcal{G}$  acts on  $\mathscr{J}$  by pushforward.

## Theorem (Quillen, Donaldson, Fujiki)

The space  $\mathscr{J}$  is ( $\infty$  dim'l) symplectic. For all  $h \in C_0^{\infty}(M) = \mathfrak{ham}(M, \omega_0)$  set

$$\mu(J)(h) = \int_M (s(g_J) - \hat{s})h rac{\omega_0^n}{n!}.$$

Then  $\mu(-)(h)$  is a Hamiltonian function for h.

In other words scalar curvature is a moment map for the action  $\mathcal{G} \sim (\mathcal{J}, \omega_{\mathcal{J}}).$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

#### Corollary (Donaldson)

The cscK equation on metrics g, with  $[\omega_g]$  fixed

 $s(g) = \widehat{s}$ 

## is precisely the symplectic reduction equation

 $\mu(g) = 0$ 

along orbits of the infinitesimal complexification  $\mathfrak{ham}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

So one expects stability criteria for existence.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ● ○ ○ ○

We extend work of Donaldson for complex curves (Riemann surfaces), with a different approach.

#### Theorem (Scarpa-S.)

A neighbourhood of the zero section in the holomorphic cotangent bundle  $T^* \mathscr{J}$  is endowed with a natural hyperkähler structure. The induced action  $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright T^* \mathscr{J}$  preserves this hyperkähler structure.

This structure is induced by regarding  $\mathscr{J}$  as the space of sections of a Sp(2*n*)-bundle with fibres diffeomorphic to Sp(2*n*)/U(*n*), and by the Biquard-Gauduchon canonical Sp(2*n*)-invariant hyperkähler metric on a neighbourhood of the zero section in  $T^*(\text{Sp}/\text{U}(n))$ .

ヘロア 人間 アメヨア 人口 ア

#### Theorem (Scarpa-S.)

The action  $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright T^* \mathscr{J}$  is Hamiltonian with respect to the canonical symplectic form  $\Theta$ ; a moment map  $\mathfrak{m}_{\Theta}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}(J,\alpha)}(h) = -\int_{M} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha^{\mathsf{T}} \mathcal{L}_{X_{h}} J) d\nu.$$

Moreover the action  $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright (T^* \mathcal{J}, \Omega_l)$  is Hamiltonian; a moment map  $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is given by  $\mu \circ \pi + \mathfrak{m}$  with

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(J,\alpha)}(h) = \int_{M} -\mathrm{d}\rho_{(J(x),\alpha(x))}\left(J(\mathcal{L}_{X_{h}}J), J^{*}(\mathcal{L}_{X_{h}}\alpha)\right) \ d\nu(x).$$

Here  $\rho$  is the Biquard-Gauduchon function.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Simple computation shows

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}(J,\alpha) = -\operatorname{div}\left(\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\alpha}^{\mathsf{T}}\right).$$

Harmonic representatives of infinitesimal deformations give particular solutions.

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

ъ

#### Theorem (Donaldson+ $\epsilon$ )

M a compact complex curve. Set

$$\psi(lpha) = rac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 - rac{1}{4} ||lpha||^2}},$$
 $Q(J, lpha) = rac{1}{4} g_J(
abla^a lpha, ar lpha) \partial_a + rac{1}{4} g_J(
abla^{ar b} ar lpha, lpha) \partial_{ar b}.$ 

Then under the L<sup>2</sup> product

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}(J, lpha) = 2 \, s(g_J) - 2 \, \hat{s} + \Delta \left( \log \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4} ||lpha||^2} \right) 
ight) + \operatorname{div} \left( \psi(lpha) \, Q(J, lpha) 
ight).$$

くロト (過) (目) (日)

ъ

## The case of complex surfaces

$$A = \operatorname{Re}(\alpha^{\mathsf{T}}).$$
  

$$\delta^{\pm}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A^2)}{2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A^2)}{2}\right)^2 - 4 \operatorname{det}(A)} \right).$$
  

$$\psi(A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \delta^+(A)} + \sqrt{1 - \delta^-(A)}},$$
  

$$\tilde{\psi}(A) = \frac{1}{\left(\sqrt{1 - \delta^+(A)} + \sqrt{1 - \delta^-(A)}\right)}$$
  

$$\times \frac{1}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \delta^+(A)}\right) \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \delta^-(A)}\right)}.$$

 $\tilde{A} = adjugate.$ 

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

æ

Introduce a complex vector field

$$\begin{aligned} X(J,\alpha) \\ &= -\psi(A) \operatorname{grad} \left( \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(A^2)}{2} \right) + 2 \psi(A) \left( g_J(\nabla^a A^{0,1}, A^{1,0}) \partial_a + \mathrm{c.c.} \right) \\ &- 2 \nabla^*(\psi(A) A^2) + \tilde{\psi}(A) \operatorname{grad} (\det(A)) \\ &- 2 \tilde{\psi}(A) \left( g_J(\nabla^a A^{0,1}, \tilde{A}^{1,0}) \partial_a - \mathrm{c.c.} \right) - 2 \operatorname{grad}(\tilde{\psi}(A) \det(A)). \end{aligned}$$

ヘロン 人間 とくほど くほとう

₹ 990

#### Theorem (Scarpa-S.)

Suppose J is integrable. Then under L<sup>2</sup> product

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{R}}(J, \alpha) = 2(s(g_J) - \hat{s}) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div} X(J, A).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## So harmonic bundle equations become the "HcscK equations"

$$2 s(g) + rac{1}{2} ext{div} X(g, A) = 2 \hat{s} \ ext{div} \left( ar{\partial}_g^* A^{1,0} 
ight) = 0$$

for fixed *J* and *g* varying in  $[\omega_g]$ . "H" for Higgs or Hitchin accoring to taste.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

ъ

## An existence result

We concentrate on **ruled surfaces**, "twisted products" of Riemann sphere and curves.



프 ( 프 )

3

#### Theorem (Scarpa-S.)

Fix compact complex curve  $\Sigma$  of genus at least 2, endowed with the hyperbolic metric  $g_{\Sigma}$ . Let M be the ruled surface  $M = \mathbb{P}(T\Sigma \oplus \mathcal{O})$ , with projection  $\pi \colon M \to \Sigma$  and relative hyperplane bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , endowed with the Kähler class

 $\alpha_m = [\pi^* \omega_{\Sigma}] + mc_1(\mathcal{O}(1)), \ m > 0.$ 

Then for all sufficiently small m the HcscK equations can be solved on  $(M, \alpha_m)$ .

It's is well-known that, for all positive m,  $(M, \alpha_m)$  does not admit a cscK metric.

イロン 不良 とくほう 不良 とうほ