

1D NONLINEAR FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATIONS FOR FERMIONS AND BOSONS

J. A. CARRILLO, J. ROSADO, F. SALVARANI

ABSTRACT. We obtain equilibration rates for nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations modelling the relaxation of fermions and bosons gases. We show how the entropy method applies to quantify explicitly the exponential decay towards Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein distributions in the one dimensional case.

1. INTRODUCTION

The main aim of this work is to analyze the large-time behavior of solutions of the Cauchy problem:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} f + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} [vf(1 + kf)], \quad v \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0, k = \pm 1, \quad (1)$$

with initial data

$$f(v, 0) = f_0(v). \quad (2)$$

These nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations have been proposed in [8, 7, 4] and the references therein, as kinetic models for the relaxation to equilibrium for bosons ($k = 1$) and fermions ($k = -1$). These models have been introduced as a simplification with respect to Boltzmann-based models as in [9, 5]. Here, we will show that entropy methods apply in a direct way to analyze the equilibration rate for the one-dimensional case.

Let us finally remark that some of these formal computations can be generalized to the fermion case in any dimension. However, the extensions to several dimensions both for fermions and for bosons are relevant open problems. In the rest, we will assume that we are dealing with smooth positive fast-decaying solutions of equation (1). The well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1)-(2), the properties of their solutions and the rigorous proof of the convergence in entropy sense will be developed elsewhere.

2. RECKONING THE STATIONARY DISTRIBUTIONS AND THE ENTROPY FORM

We first give the explicit form of integrable stationary solutions for equation (1):

Lemma 2.1. *Let F_∞ be an integrable, strictly positive, stationary solution for Equation (1), with $F_\infty < 1$ in the fermion case. Then*

$$F_\infty(v) = \frac{1}{\beta e^{\frac{v^2}{2}} - k}.$$

Moreover, for each value of the mass $M > 0$, there exists a unique $\beta = \beta(M) \geq 0$ such that $F_\infty(v)$ has mass M .

Proof.- We consider the stationary version of Equation (1):

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2} f + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} [vf(1+kf)] = 0,$$

that can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left\{ f(1+kf) \left[\frac{1}{f(1+kf)} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left(\frac{v^2}{2} \right) \right] \right\} = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left\{ f(1+kf) \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[\log \left(\frac{f}{1+kf} \right) + \frac{v^2}{2} \right] \right\} = 0.$$

Since the solution is smooth fast-decaying and less than one in the fermion case, then previous equation implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v} \left[\log \left(\frac{f}{1+kf} \right) + \frac{v^2}{2} \right] = 0$$

from which we analytically obtain the stationary solution to Equation (1):

$$F_\infty(v) = \frac{1}{\beta e^{\frac{v^2}{2}} - k}$$

with $\beta \geq 0$. Now, it is easy to check that these stationary solutions are integrable for all $\beta > 0$ in the fermion case and for $\beta > 1$ in the boson case, and moreover, in the boson case the map $M(\beta) : \beta \in (1, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ given by:

$$M(\beta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\beta e^{\frac{v^2}{2}} - k} dv$$

is decreasing, surjective and invertible. In the fermion case, $M(\beta)$ has the same properties defined on $\beta \in (0, \infty)$. \square

Remark 2.2. *Since the stationary states depend on M through β , we shall write $F_{\infty, M}(v)$ instead of $F_\infty(v)$. This family of stationary states corresponds to the classical Fermi-Dirac ($k = -1$) and Bose-Einstein ($k = 1$) distributions. Lemma 2.1 can be generalized to any dimension in the fermion case and to 2D in the boson case. However, in the 3D boson case, the stationary solutions $F_{\infty, \beta}(v)$ converge as $\beta \rightarrow 1^+$ to an integrable singular solution, and thus we have the well-known critical mass for Bose-Einstein equilibrium distributions.*

Following similar ideas as in [2, 1, 3], we can define the entropy of f as

$$H(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{v^2}{2} f + \Phi(f) \right] dv \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Phi(f) = f \log(f) - k(1+kf) \log(1+kf) \quad (4)$$

which acts as a Liapunov functional for the system, namely:

Proposition 2.3 (H-theorem). *The functional H defined on the set of positive integrable functions with given mass M attains its unique minimum at $F_{\infty, M}(v)$. Moreover, given any solution to (1) with initial data f_0 of mass M , we have*

$$H(F_{\infty, M}) \leq H(f(t)) \leq H(f_0) \quad (5)$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof.- We first remark that the entropy functional coincides with the one introduced in [1] for the nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ g \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [x + h(g)] \right\} \quad (6)$$

for the function $g(x, t)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $t > 0$, where

$$h(g) = \log \left(\frac{g}{1 + kg} \right). \quad (7)$$

We leave the readers to check that the nonlinear diffusion defining previous equation verifies all hypotheses needed [1, Proposition 5], that implies the first statement of this proposition. Let us remark the minimizing character of the Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein distributions for this entropy is also a consequence of the results in [5, 10]. Concerning the second part, we can compute the evolution of the entropy functional along solutions getting

$$-D_k(f) := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(f) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(1 + kf) \left[v + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h(f) \right]^2 dv \leq 0 \quad (8)$$

where $D_k(f)$ is by definition the entropy dissipation for equation (1). \square

Let us point out that the entropy dissipation for the nonlinear diffusion equation (6) is given by

$$-D_0(g) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} H(g) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g \left[x + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(g) \right]^2 dx.$$

The relation between the entropy dissipations for the solutions of the nonlinear diffusion equation (6) and (1) will be the basis of our results.

3. A-PRIORI ESTIMATES

In order to get decay rates towards equilibrium states for this problem, we sketch the proof of some comparison properties between solutions of the equation that are obtained by classical arguments, see [6] for instance.

Lemma 3.1. *Let f be a solution of the Cauchy problem (1)-(2). If $f_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, then the L^1 -norm of f is non-increasing for $t \geq 0$.*

Proof.- Let us consider a regularized increasing approximation of the sign function $\text{sign}_\varepsilon(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$, and let us define the regularized approximation $|f|_\varepsilon(z)$ of $|f|(z)$ by the primitive of $\text{sign}_\varepsilon(f)(z)$. We now multiply Equation (1) by $\text{sign}_\varepsilon(z)$ to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|_\varepsilon dv = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sign}'_\varepsilon(f) |\partial_v f|^2 dv + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sign}_\varepsilon(f) \partial_v (vf(1 + kf)) dv. \quad (9)$$

We integrate by parts the last term deducing

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|_\varepsilon dv = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \text{sign}'_\varepsilon(f) |\partial_v f|^2 dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}} v \text{sign}'_\varepsilon(f) f(1 + kf) \partial_v f dv.$$

Since $\text{sign}'_\varepsilon(f)f\partial_v f = \partial_v[f \text{sign}_\varepsilon(f) - |f|_\varepsilon]$ and $\text{sign}'_\varepsilon(f)f^2\partial_v f = \partial_v[f^2 \text{sign}_\varepsilon(f) - f|f|_\varepsilon]$, we obtain, after another integration by parts in the last term of the right-hand side of Equation (9) that, in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, such term vanishes, and deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f| dv \leq 0,$$

that is the L^1 -norm is non-increasing in time. \square

A simple consequence of the previous lemma is given by the following corollary:

Corollary 3.2. *Let f be a solution of the Cauchy problem (1)-(2), with initial condition $f_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. If f_0 is non-negative a.e. in \mathbb{R} , then f is also non-negative a.e. in \mathbb{R} for any $t > 0$.*

Proof.- We consider the time evolution of $f_\varepsilon^-(v, t) = (|f|_\varepsilon - f)/2$. By the conservation of mass in Equation (1) and the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|_\varepsilon^- dv \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_0|_\varepsilon^- dv + O(\varepsilon) \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Taking the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the thesis follows easily. \square

The main arguments of Lemma 3.1 lead to comparison results between positive solutions.

Lemma 3.3 (L^1 -contraction). *Let f and g be two solutions of the Cauchy problem (1)-(2), with with non-negative a.e. initial conditions f_0 and $g_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ respectively. Then*

$$\|f(v, t) - g(v, t)\|_1 \leq \|f_0(v) - g_0(v)\|_1$$

for all $t > 0$. Moreover, if $f_0(v) \leq g_0(v)$ a.e., then $f(v, t) \leq g(v, t)$ a.e. for all $t > 0$.

Proof.- Since both f and g are solutions of Equation (1), we deduce

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(f - g) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial v^2}(f - g) + \partial_v(v(f - g)) + k\partial_v(v(f^2 - g^2)).$$

We multiply this equation by $\text{sign}_\varepsilon(f - g)$ and integrate with respect to $v \in \mathbb{R}$. The same computations of Lemma 3.1 and the observation $\text{sign}_\varepsilon^+(f - g) = \text{sign}_\varepsilon^+(f^2 - g^2)$ for positive solutions due to previous Corollary finishes the proof.

The order-preserving property of the equation is an immediate consequence of the time evolution of the quantity

$$[f(v, t) - g(v, t)]_\varepsilon^- = |f(v, t) - g(v, t)|_\varepsilon - (f - g).$$

Since the initial conditions are of class $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, from the conservation of mass and the L^1 -contraction principle, we deduce immediately that the condition $f_0(v) \leq g_0(v)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R} implies that $f(v, t) \leq g(v, t)$ a.e. for all $t > 0$. \square

As a consequence, we can compare solutions to the stationary states $F_{\infty, M}$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let f be a solution of (1)-(2) with initial condition f_0 such that $f_0(v) \leq F_{\infty, M}(v)$ a.e.. Then $f(v, t) \leq F_{\infty, M}(v)$ a.e. for all $t > 0$.*

4. ENTROPY DISSIPATION AND CONVERGENCE RATES TOWARDS EQUILIBRIA

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be a solution for (1) and $F_{\infty, M}$ be the stationary state of the solution with the same mass M . In the fermion case, $k = -1$, we additionally assume that the initial data f_0 is below a given Fermi-Dirac distribution F_{∞, M^*} , i.e., $f_0 \leq F_{\infty, M^*}$ a.e. Then*

$$H(f) - H(F_{\infty, M}) \leq (H(f_0) - H(F_{\infty, M}))e^{-2Ct} \quad (10)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where $C = 1$ for the boson case, $k = 1$, and C depends on M^* in the fermion case, $k = -1$.

Proof.- We leave the readers to check that $h(f)$ given by (7) verifies in one dimension the hypotheses of the Generalized Log-Sobolev Inequality [1, thm 17], in the fermion case we must restrict $f \in (0, 1)$. The Generalized Log-Sobolev Inequality asserts in our case that

$$H(g) - H(F_{\infty, M}) \leq \frac{1}{2}D_0(g) \quad (11)$$

for all integrable positive g with mass M for which the right-hand side is well-defined and finite. We can now compare the entropy dissipation $D_k(f)$ of equation (1) and the one $D_0(f)$ of equation (6) in each case:

- Bosons: convergence to Bose-Einstein distribution, $k = 1$:

$$D_1(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f + f^2) \left[v + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h(f) \right]^2 dv \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \left[v + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h(f) \right]^2 dv. \quad (12)$$

- Fermions: convergence to Fermi-Dirac distribution, $k = -1$: Thanks to Corollary 3.4 we have $f(v, t) \leq F_{\infty, M^*}(v) \leq (\beta^* + 1)^{-1}$ a.e. in \mathbb{R} , and thus

$$D_{-1}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(1 - f) \left[v + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h(f) \right]^2 dv \geq R \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \left[v + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} h(f) \right]^2 dv \quad (13)$$

where $R = 1 - (\beta^* + 1)^{-1}$.

Applying the Generalized Log-Sobolev Inequality (11) to the solution $f(t)$ and taking into account previous estimates, we conclude

$$H(f(t)) - H(F_{\infty, M}) \leq (2C(k))^{-1} D_k(f(t)) \quad (14)$$

where $C(k) = 1$ if $k = 1$ and $C(k) = R$ if $k = -1$. Finally, coming back to the entropy evolution:

$$\frac{d}{dt} [H(f(t)) - H(F_{\infty, M})] = -D_k(f(t)) \leq -2C(k) [H(f(t)) - H(F_{\infty, M})],$$

and the result follows from Gronwall's lemma. \square

Now, we can try to give more accurate convergence properties by reckoning rates of decay for the entropy dissipation:

$$D_k(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(1 + kf)\xi^2 dv$$

where $\xi = v + \partial_v h(f)$. Computing the evolution of the dissipation of the entropy in time, we deduce

$$DD_k(f) = \frac{d}{dt} D_k(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + 2kf) \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \xi^2 dv + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(1 + kf)\xi \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} dv = (I) + (II)$$

Integrating (II) by parts, we obtain that

$$(II) = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{f(1+kf)} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v} [f(1+kf)\xi] \right)^2 dv$$

Using again integration by parts with (I) and repeating the process for the term with $\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(1+2kf)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(f + \frac{3}{2}kf^2 + f^3 \right) \xi^2 \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial v} dv \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_1(f) \xi^2 dv + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_2'(f) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \right)^2 f(1+kf) \xi^2 dv \\ &\quad + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_2(f) \xi \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \frac{\partial}{\partial v} [f(1+kf)\xi] dv \end{aligned}$$

where we have considered

$$\varphi_1(f) = f + \frac{3}{2}kf^2 + f^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2(f) = \frac{\varphi_1(f)}{(f(1+kf))^2}.$$

Finally, we have

$$DD_k(f) = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_1(f) \xi^2 dv - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} (B - \varphi_2(f)A)^2 dv + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\varphi_2(f)^2 + \varphi_2'(f)] A^2$$

where

$$A := \xi \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \sqrt{f(1+kf)} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial v} [f(1+kf)\xi]}{\sqrt{f(1+kf)}}.$$

For $k = 1$, it is easy to show that $[\varphi_2(f)^2 + \varphi_2'(f)] \leq 0$, so the last term in $DD_k(f)$ is negative, and we get

$$DD_1(f) \leq -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_1(f) \xi^2 dv \leq -2D_1(f) \quad (15)$$

since for $k = 1$, we have $\varphi_1(f) \geq f(1+f)$. We conclude:

Proposition 4.2 (Entropy Dissipation Decay for bosons). *Let f be a solution for (1) with $k = 1$, then, for all $t \geq 0$,*

$$D_1(f(t)) \leq D_1(f_0)e^{-2t}.$$

Finally, we will remark the consequences of the entropy convergence on L^1 spaces. Due to mass conservation and positivity of the stationary states $F_{\infty, M}$, we have

$$H(f|F_{\infty, M}) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\Phi(f) - \Phi(F_{\infty, M}) - \Phi'(F_{\infty, M})(f - F_{\infty, M})] dv = H(f) - H(F_{\infty, M}).$$

Corollary 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, then*

$$\|f(t) - F_{\infty, M}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_2 (H(f_0|F_{\infty, M}))^{1/2} e^{-Ct} \quad (16)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where C_2 depends only on the mass M .

This is a consequence of a direct application of Taylor theorem to the relative entropy $H(f(t)|F_{\infty, M})$ obtaining:

$$H(f|F_{\infty, M}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi''(\xi(v, t))(f - F_{\infty, M})^2 dv \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_{\infty}} \Phi''(\xi(v, t))(f - F_{\infty, M})^2 dv$$

where $\xi(v, t)$ lies on the interval between $f(v, t)$ and $F_{\infty, M}(v)$ and $S_{\infty} = \{v \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f(v, t) \leq F_{\infty, M}(v)\}$. Now, a direct Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - F_{\infty, M}\|_{L^1(S_{\infty})}^2 &\leq \left(\int_{S_{\infty}} \frac{1}{\Phi''(\xi(v, t))} dv \right) \left(\int_{S_{\infty}} \Phi''(\xi(v, t))(f - F_{\infty, M})^2 dv \right) \\ &\leq 2\gamma \left(\int_{S_{\infty}} F_{\infty, M}(v) dv \right) H(f|F_{\infty, M}) \leq 2\gamma MH(f|F_{\infty, M}) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where $\gamma = 1 + (\beta(M) - 1)^{-1}$ for bosons and $\gamma = 1$ for fermions. Taking into account that $f(v, t)$ and $F_{\infty, M}(v)$ have equal mass, then

$$\|f - F_{\infty, M}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = 2\|f - F_{\infty, M}\|_{L^1(S_{\infty})}. \quad (18)$$

Corollary 4.3 is obtained putting together (17) and (18).

Acknowledgment. JAC and JR acknowledges the support from DGI-MEC (Spain) project MTM2005-08024. FS is grateful to the *Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona* for its hospitality. JR has been partially supported by the Wittgenstein 2000 Award of Peter A. Markowich. We thank P. Laurençot for fruitful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. A. Carrillo, A. Jüngel, P. Markowich, G. Toscani, A. Unterreiter, Entropy dissipation methods for degenerate parabolic problems and generalized Sobolev inequalities, *Monatsh. Math.* **133** (2001), 1–82.
- [2] J. A. Carrillo, G. Toscani, Asymptotic L^1 -decay of solutions of the porous media equation to self-similarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **49** (2000), 113–141.
- [3] J. A. Carrillo, G. Toscani, Large-time asymptotics for strong solutions of the thin film equation, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **225** (2002), 113–142.
- [4] P. H. Chavanis, P. Laurençot, M. Lemou, Chapman-Enskog derivation of the generalized Smoluchowski equation, *Phys. A* **341** (2004), 145–164.
- [5] M. Escobedo, S. Mischler, On a quantum Boltzmann equation for a gas of photons, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **80** (2001), 471–515.
- [6] M. Escobedo, E. Zuazua, Large time behavior for convection-diffusion equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *J. Funct. Anal.*, **100** (1991), 119–161.
- [7] T. D. Frank, *Nonlinear Fokker-Planck equations: Fundamentals and applications*. Springer Series in Synergetics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005.
- [8] G. Kaniadakis, Generalized Boltzmann equation describing the dynamics of bosons and fermions, *Physics Letters A*, **203** (1995), 229–234.
- [9] X. G. Lu, On spatially Homogeneous solutions of a modified Boltzmann equation for Fermi-Dirac particles, *J. Statist. Phys.* **105** (2001), 353–388.
- [10] G. Toscani, Remarks on entropy and equilibrium states, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **12** (1999), 19–25.

ICREA (INSTITUCIÓ CATALANA DE RECERCA I ESTUDIS AVANÇATS) AND DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, E-08193 BELLATERRA, SPAIN. E-MAIL: carrillo@mat.uab.es.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, E-08193 BELLATERRA, SPAIN. E-MAIL: jrosado@mat.uab.es.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PAVIA, VIA FERRATA 1, I-27100 PAVIA, ITALY. E-MAIL: francesco.salvarani@unipv.it.